Local Utility Matching Program

Alaska's experience with water and sewer local subsidy





LUMP

- Pilot project that ran from November of 1992-October 1994, and was a performance based subsidy offered to 11 communities in the Northwest Arctic Borough through Alaska DEC.
- \$480,000 program funding through State capital appropriation.
- Max of \$10k/village/quarter
- Dollar for dollar match against local collected residential user fees.

Purpose of LUMP

- Encourage collection of user fees
- Promote hiring of qualified operators and reduce turnover
- Facilitate preventative maintenance
- Reduce regulatory violations
- Reduce costs for emergency repairs/replacements of existing W/S systems

Results of Lump

- Over half of the participating communities showed substantial improvement in collection of fees.
- Turnover in trained operators went from 115% to less than 30%.
- There was 100% regulatory compliance
- All communities produced a critical parts list and maintained critical parts

However, LUMP had shortcomings

- Too short of time of performance to see how well it would work at sustaining benefits
- Administrative costs were high in part because it took a considerable time to get communities to join into the program
- No plan for keeping the benefits in place once incentives went away

LUMP-Lessons Learned

- Subsidies that are performance based dramatically improve performance.
- LUMP required and resulted in improved recordkeeping and performance measurements.
- Performance based subsidies can extend the life of systems and reduce costly emergencies.
- LUMP brought O&M to the attention of the agencies and organizations that formerly were most interested in construction funding.

Principles Needed in Any Future LUMP

- LUMP is a privilege not a guarantee.
- Performance must be measureable.
- Subsidies must include improved training of operator and system management.
- Operator advancement and system performance that extends the life of the investment must be rewarded (bonuses?).









